European Association of Urology Guidelines on the Diagnosis and Management of Female Non-neurogenic Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms. Part 1: Diagnostics, Overactive Bladder, Stress Urinary Incontinence, and Mixed Urinary Incontinence


**Context:** Female lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) are a common presentation in urological practice. Thus far, only a limited number of female LUTS conditions have been included in the European Association of Urology (EAU) guidelines compendium. The new non-neurogenic female LUTS guideline expands the remit to include these symptoms and conditions.

**Objective:** To summarise the diagnostic section of the non-neurogenic female LUTS guideline and the management of female overactive bladder (OAB), stress urinary incontinence (SUI), and mixed urinary incontinence (MUI).
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Evidence acquisition: New literature searches were carried out in September 2021 and evidence synthesis was conducted using the modified GRADE criteria as outlined for all EAU guidelines. A new systematic review (SR) on OAB was carried out by the panel for the purposes of this guideline.

Evidence synthesis: The important considerations for informing guideline recommendations are presented, along with a summary of all the guideline recommendations.

Conclusions: Non-neurogenic female LUTS are an important cause of urological dysfunction. Initial evaluation, diagnosis, and management should be carried out in a structured and logical fashion based on the best available evidence. This guideline serves to present this evidence to health care providers in an easily accessible and digestible format.

Patient summary: This report summarises the main recommendations from the European Association of Urology guideline on symptoms and diseases of the female lower urinary tract (bladder and urethra) not associated with neurological disease. We cover recommendations related to diagnosis of these conditions, as well as the treatment of overactive bladder, stress urinary incontinence, and mixed urinary incontinence.

© 2022 European Association of Urology. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The European Association of Urology (EAU) guidelines on non-neurogenic female lower urinary tract symptoms (FLUTS) have evolved from the previous guidelines on urinary incontinence (UI) [1] to incorporate the wider range of LUTS affecting women that were previously not considered in the EAU guidelines compendium. The wider scope of the subject area has resulted in a restructuring of the guidelines, which are now presented in a condition-based format.

Here we present a precis of the current version of these guidelines [2], specifically focusing on the sections on diagnostics, overactive bladder (OAB), stress urinary incontinence (SUI), and mixed urinary incontinence (MUI). The remaining topic areas will be covered in part 2 of this publication. Information on epidemiology is not presented here; rather, we consider the patient pathway from presentation through diagnostics to management of the specific symptom complexes. The best available evidence is summarised and the main recommendations from the full version of the guidelines are presented in a concise and easily digestible format.

2. Evidence acquisition

Owing to the expansion of the scope of these guidelines, a new literature search was carried out with expanded terminology and criteria. The full details of the search strategy are available online (https://uroweb.org/wp-content/uploads/2021-EAU-Non-neurogenic-Female-LUTS-Guidelines-Search-Strategy.pdf).

The EAU Guidelines Office uses a modified GRADE approach to evaluate the relevant literature pertaining to each topic area. High-quality systematic reviews (SRs) are referred to where available, and lower-quality evidence is evaluated when these are not available. For this guideline edition, new SRs on OAB and female bladder outlet obstruction (BOO) were carried out by the panel.

Evidence summary statements and assessment of the quality of the available evidence are reinforced by certainty ratings (from very low to high). Recommendations are then produced on the basis of these certainty ratings, the benefit-to-harm balance, and consideration of patient values and preferences, where feasible, to give an overall recommendation with a strength rating of “strong” or “weak”. It must be noted that the balance between “strong” and “weak” recommendations relates to these three factors rather than purely the evidence base for the intervention. Our panel recommendations are reinforced by the inclusion of patient representatives as part of the panel, who provide valuable input into the discussion around patient values and preferences.

3. Evidence synthesis

3.1. Diagnostics

3.1.1. History and physical examination

The value of a thorough medical history and physical examination remains undisputed despite the lack of high-level supporting evidence, which is reflected in the panel’s strong recommendation. History of non-neurogenic FLUTS should include an attempt to differentiate and quantify storage, voiding, and postmicturition symptoms, as well as sexual, gastrointestinal, and neurological symptoms. Urinary incontinence (UI) should be classified as urgency UI (UUI), SUI, MUI (detailing the most bothersome component), or other forms of UI, such as overflow and continuous UI. All patients should be asked about any red flag symptoms (pain, haematuria, neurological symptoms or associated dysfunction, previous pelvic surgery or radiotherapy). Smoking status, comorbidities, and body mass index should also be recorded.

Clinical examination should include abdominal and genitourinary examination to assess for any palpable masses, pelvic floor muscle (PFM) tone and function, cough stress test for SUI, and vaginal oestrogenisation. A basic assessment for any pelvic organ prolapse (POP) should also be carried out.
3.12. Patient questionnaires
In general, questionnaires should be validated for the language in which they are being used and demonstrated to be sensitive to change [3]. The International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire-Female Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms (ICIQ-FLUTS), Questionnaire for Urinary Incontinence Diagnosis (QUID), 3 Incontinence Questions (3IQ), and ICIQ-short form (ICIQ-SF) have potential to discriminate UI types in women [4–6]. The Overactive Bladder-short form (OAB-SF) and Bladder Control Self-assessment Questionnaire (B-SAQ) have been developed to measure symptoms and bother in OAB. There is no evidence to indicate whether use of quality of life (QoL) or condition-specific questionnaires has an impact on the outcome of treatment. The recommendation on the use of questionnaires has been upgraded to “strong” on the basis of panel consensus.

3.1.3. Bladder diaries
The panel advocates consistent use of terminology in studies evaluating the tools variably described as micturition diary, frequency volume chart, bladder diary, and voiding diary. Consensus terminology is now well defined and should be widely accepted [7,8]. Moderate-quality observational studies have demonstrated that bladder diaries have satisfactory reproducibility [9,10], feasibility, reliability, and validity [11,12], and even therapeutic benefit [13]. The optimum diary duration appears to be guided by a balance between accuracy and compliance, with durations between 3 and 7 days routinely reported in the literature.

3.1.4. Urinalysis and urinary tract infections
Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are a common cause of FLUTS, and pre-existing FLUTS may be exacerbated by a UTI [14]. Urinalysis negative for nitrites and leucocyte esterase may exclude bacteriuria in women [15], and treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria was not shown to be beneficial in elderly [16].

3.1.5. Postvoid residual volume
There does not seem to be any consensus on what constitutes a significant postvoid residual volume (PVR) in women without neurological disease, and most studies investigating the topic assessed mixed populations. The panel therefore suggests the additional use of bladder voiding efficiency (BVE), where BVE = (voided volume/voided volume + PVR) × 100 [17]. The recommendations on PVR have been upgraded on the basis of potential harms in cases in which a significant postvoid residual volume is missed.

3.1.6. Urodynamics
The utility of urodynamics in the diagnostic work-up of FLUTS is still unclear. Most of the evidence comes from observational studies, but a Cochrane review [18], SR and meta-analysis [19] and a randomised controlled trial (RCT) [20] have addressed the question in relation to SUI. Overall, preoperative urodynamics made no difference to cure rates or complication rates.

The presence of preoperative detrusor overactivity (DO) did not predict overall treatment failure following surgery for SUI [21], nor did DO have any predictive value for treatment response in studies on feosoteridine, onabotulinum toxin, or sacral nerve stimulation for OAB symptoms [22–25].

Although pressure-flow studies are capable of discriminating BOO from detrusor underactivity (DU) as a cause of voiding dysfunction, post hoc analysis of two high-quality surgical trials of tension-free vaginal tape (TVT), Burch colposuspension, and autologous fascial slings showed that no preoperative urodynamic parameter predicted postoperative voiding dysfunction in a selected population of women with low preoperative PVR [26,27].

There is no consistent correlation between results for urethral function tests (eg, urethral pressure profilometry) and subsequent success or failure of SUI surgery [21,28].

The recommendations on urodynamics have been formulated taking into consideration the inconsistency in the evidence on their predictive value, while recognising their utility in cases of diagnostic difficulty.

3.1.7. Pad testing
Two SRs assessed the utility of pad testing for UI [29,30]. It has been shown that pad tests have high diagnostic accuracy, but variation in standardisation of the parameters used (bladder volume and degree of provocation) reduces their utility in daily clinical practice. Tests of shorter duration and standardised exercise protocols have higher specificity but lower sensitivity, whereas tests of longer duration are more reproducible and sensitive, but standardisation of activity levels remains difficult. These tests may therefore be more useful in the research setting than in routine practice.

3.1.8. Imaging
In cases of suspected LUTS/UI caused by an upper urinary tract (UUT) anomaly or ureterovaginal fistula, UUT imaging (intravenous urography or computed tomography) may be indicated [31].

Ultrasonography can be used to assess PFMs and their function, where indicated.

There is no consensus on the relationship between OAB and increased bladder wall thickness (BWT) or detrusor wall thickness (DWT) [32], and there is no evidence that BWT/DWT imaging improves management of OAB. DWT was also not associated with any urodynamic parameters that may indicate BOO [33].

There is large variation in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) interpretation between observers [34] and little evidence to support its clinical usefulness in the management of LUTS/UI in general, although its utility for diagnosing urethral diverticula is recognised.

In general, there is no indication to carry out imaging investigations in the basic evaluation of FLUTS. The imaging component of video urodynamics is a special case for which the additional anatomical information obtained may be beneficial. Specific instances in which imaging modalities add value are discussed in the relevant disease sections.

Recommendations for the overall diagnosis of LUTS are provided in Table 1.
3.2. Overactive bladder

OAB is defined by the International Continence Society (ICS) as “urinary urgency, usually accompanied by frequency and nocturia, with or without UUI, in the absence of UTI or other obvious pathology” [35]. It is generally classified as wet or nocturia, with or without UUI, in the absence of UTI or other obvious pathology. OAB is typically defined by the International Continence Society as symptoms of urgency, usually accompanied by frequency and a sense of incomplete bladder emptying with or without urgency incontinence [36].

3.2.1. Conservative management

3.2.1.1. Medication adjustment and containment. There is no evidence to suggest that improving any comorbid medical condition improves OAB symptoms, although it is recommended practice to review any new medication that is associated with the development or worsening of OAB symptoms. Of the containment devices, pads offer effective control of leakage [36] and an indwelling catheter or clean-intermittent catheterisation is also useful in select patients [37,38].

3.2.1.2. Caffeine reduction. A recent review of 14 intervention and 12 observational studies showed that a reduction in caffeine intake may reduce symptoms of urgency, but the certainty of the evidence was low, with significant heterogeneity [39].

3.2.1.3. Modification of fluid intake. One RCT showed that a reduction in fluid intake by 25% improved symptoms in patients with OAB but not UI [40]. Personalised fluid advice compared to generic advice made no difference to outcomes in individuals receiving anticholinergics for OAB according to an RCT comparing drug therapy alone to drug therapy with behavioural advice [41].

3.2.1.4. Weight loss. There is evidence that the prevalence of both UUI and SUI increases proportionately with body mass index [42], but this evidence base is largely related to SUI.

3.2.1.5. Smoking cessation. The effect of smoking cessation on LUTS was described as uncertain in a health technology assessment review [43].

3.2.1.6. Behavioural and physical therapies. Two SRs [44,45] confirmed a positive effect oncontinence for prompted voiding in comparison to standard care [45]. Three SRs on the effect of bladder training compared to standard care confirmed that bladder training is more effective than no treatment in improving UI [43,46,47]. An SR of 11 RCTs [48] in women with OAB compared the efficacy of PFMT training (PFMT) to inactive control, usual care, other lifestyle modification, or other intervention. PFMT significantly reduced OAB symptoms (frequency and UUI) in five RCTs, while the remaining six reported no significant difference. Substantial heterogeneity in protocols precluded meaningful comparisons.

Results from studies on percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS) in women with refractory UUI are consistent, showing that PTNS improves UI in women without adequate improvement with, or who cannot tolerate, antimuscarinic therapy [49,50]. An SR commissioned by this panel (currently in preparation) showed that PTNS techniques were more effective than antimuscarinics in reducing UUI episodes (mean difference −0.69, 95% confidence interval −1.31 to −0.02; p = 0.04; low certainty of evidence) with no significant difference in reducing mean symptoms score, frequency episodes, or urgency episodes.

3.2.2. Pharmacological management

Anticholinergic (antimuscarinic) drugs are currently the first-line pharmacological treatment for OAB; however, evaluation of cure or improvement of OAB is made harder by the lack of standard definitions. In general, SRSs note that the overall treatment effect of drugs is usually small but greater than that of placebo (Table 2). A network meta-analysis of 128 RCTs comparing anticholinergics with placebo or other anticholinergics revealed that all anticholinergics except for imidafenacin led to a significant cure or
improvement in OAB symptoms [51]. There is limited evidence that patients who do not respond to first-line anticholinergic treatment respond to a higher dose or a different anticholinergic agent [52,53].

No single anticholinergic has been shown to have superior cure, improvement, or QoL characteristics compared to others.

Three SRs assessing the clinical effectiveness of mirabegron [54–56] revealed that mirabegron at doses of 25, 50, and 100 mg/d results in significantly greater reductions in UI episodes, urgency episodes, and micturition frequency and 100 mg/d results in significantly greater reductions in solifenacin [58]. Solifenacin is as efficacious as most anticholinergics in reducing UIUI episodes [57]. The most common adverse events in the mirabegron groups were hypertension (7.3%), nasopharyngitis (3.4%), and UTI (3%), with the overall rate similar to placebo. An RCT in patients who had an inadequate response to solifenacin monotherapy 5 mg demonstrated that combination treatment with mirabegron 50 mg had a higher chance of achieving a clinically meaningful improvement in UI in comparison to dose escalation of solifenacin [58].

Two SRs of largely retrospective cohort studies showed a consistent association between long-term anticholinergic use and cognitive dysfunction [59,60].

The association of LUTS with genitourinary syndrome of menopause (GSM) should be considered [61]. GSM is a new term that describes various menopausal symptoms and signs associated with physical changes in the vulva, vagina, and LUT. These include mucosal pallor/erythema, loss of vaginal rugae, tissue fragility/fissures, vaginal petechiae, urethral mucosal prolapse, introital retraction, and vaginal dryness. Evidence from a SR suggests benefit from vaginal oestrogen therapy in GSM [62].

### 3.2.2.3. Sacral nerve stimulation

A 2018 review of trials including sacral nerve stimulation (SNS) with ≥6 mo of follow-up revealed cure rates of 43–56% [65]. Adverse events occurred in 50% of implanted cases, with surgical revision necessary in 33–41% [66,67]. A large RCT reported similar efficacy between SNS and onabotA injections at 2 yr, although satisfaction rates and treatment endorsement were higher with onabotA [68].

### 3.2.2.3.2. Augmentation/clam cystoplasty

The evidence on augmentation/clam cystoplasty is of low quality and from mixed populations (including neurogenic DO), showing continence and satisfaction rates of approximately 58% at 5 yr [69]. The risk of malignant transformation remains very low and almost exclusively occurs beyond 10 yr after the original cystoplasty [70].

Recommendation for the management of OAB are provided in Table 3.

### 3.3. Stress urinary incontinence

SUI is defined as involuntary loss of urine on effort or physical exertion and can be broadly classified as uncomplicated or complicated SUI. Complicated SUI refers to SUI associated with previous surgery for incontinence or extensive pelvic surgery; history of pelvic irradiation; presence of anterior or apical POP; presence of voiding symptoms or neurogenic LUT dysfunction; or significant associated OAB/UI.

The panel recognises the role of urodynamics in evaluation of SUI and has incorporated a separate recommendation for these cases, as shown in Table 4.

### 3.3.1. Conservative management

Evidence from SRs and RCTs suggests that weight loss improves UI in obese women.

A Cochrane SR compared PFMT with no treatment or inactive control treatment and found that women with SUI in the PFMT groups were eight times more likely to report cure (56% vs 6%) [71].

### 3.3.2. Pharmacological management

#### 3.3.2.1. Oestrogen therapy

A Cochrane SR looked at the use of oestrogen therapy in postmenopausal women, with 17 studies focusing on SUI [72] and reporting improvement in the short term. Vaginal oestrogen therapy can be given as
conjugated equine oestrogen, oestril or oestradiol in vaginal pessaries, vaginal rings, or creams.

3.3.2. Duloxetine. An SR showed significant efficacy for duloxetine compared to placebo in women with SUI, but with a higher risk of adverse events [73].

Table 3 – Recommendations for OAB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Strength rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Take a history of current medication use from all patients with OAB.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review any new medication associated with the development or worsening of OAB symptoms.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that women with OAB and/or their carers are informed regarding available treatment options before deciding on urinary containment alone.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer prophylactic antibiotics to patients with recurrent UTI who perform CISC or have an indwelling catheter, after discussion regarding the risk of increasing antimicrobial resistance.</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage overweight and obese adults with OAB/UI to lose weight and maintain weight loss.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advise adults with OAB that reducing caffeine intake may improve symptoms of urgency and frequency, but not incontinence.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review the type and amount of fluid intake in patients with OAB.</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide smoking cessation strategies to patients with OAB who smoke.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer prompt voiding for adults with OAB who are cognitively impaired.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer bladder training as first-line therapy to adults with OAB/UI.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that PFMT programmes are as intensive as possible.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider PTNS as an option for improvement of OAB/UI in women who have not benefited from anticholinergic medication.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer anticholinergic drugs or mirabegron to adults with OAB for whom conservative treatment fails.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider extended release formulations of anticholinergic drugs whenever possible.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If an anticholinergic treatment proves ineffective, consider dose escalation or offering an alternative anticholinergic formulation, or mirabegron, or a combination.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage early review (of efficacy and adverse effects) for patients on anticholinergic medication for OAB.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term anticholinergic treatment should be used with caution in elderly women, especially those who are at risk of or who have pre-existing cognitive dysfunction.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assess the anticholinergic burden and associated comorbidity in patients being considered for anticholinergic therapy for OAB syndrome.</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer vaginal oestrogen therapy to women with LUTS and associated symptoms of GSM.</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer bladder wall injections of onabotulinum toxin A (100 U) to patients with OAB/UI refractory to conservative therapy or drug treatment.</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warn patients of the limited duration of response, risk of UTI, and possible prolonged need for CISC before treatment with onabotulinum toxin A.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer repeat injections of onabotulinum toxin, as required, to women in whom it has been effective (refer to the manufacturer’s guidance regarding the minimum timeframe for repeat injections).</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer SNS to patients who have OAB/UI refractory to anticholinergic therapy.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer life-long surveillance to women who have a SNS implant to monitor for lead displacement, malfunction, and battery wear.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer augmentation cystoplasty to patients with OAB/UI for whom all other treatment options have failed and who have been warned about the possible small risk of malignancy.</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inform patients undergoing augmentation cystoplasty of the high risk of CISC (ensure they are willing and able to do so) and that they need lifelong surveillance.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not offer detrusor myectomy as a treatment for UUI.</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only offer urinary diversion to patients for whom less-invasive therapies for the treatment of OAB/UI have failed who will accept a stoma and have been warned about the possible small risk of malignancy.</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CISC = clean intermittent self-catheterisation; GSM = genitourinary syndrome of menopause; LUTS = lower urinary tract symptoms; OAB = overactive bladder; PFMT = pelvic floor muscle training; PTNS = percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation; SNS = sacral nerve stimulation; UI = urinary incontinence; UTI = urinary tract infection; UUI = urge urinary incontinence.

3.3.3. Surgical management

Concerns regarding the use of polypropylene mesh are legitimate and legislation on its use varies between countries in Europe. The ESTER SR and network meta-analysis [74] is a high-quality review comparing the different surgical modalities for treatment of SUI. Individual rankograms for all surgical interventions were created, which give the probabilities of an intervention being ranked 1 (the highest) to 9 (the lowest) for each outcome. A surface under the cumulative ranking (SUCRA) score is then given, which is

Table 4 – Recommendations for the diagnosis of SUI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Strength rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do not routinely carry out urodynamic tests when offering treatment for uncomplicated SUI.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perform preoperative urodynamic tests in cases of SUI with associated storage symptoms; cases in which the type of incontinence is unclear; cases in which voiding dysfunction is suspected; and cases with associated POP or prior surgery for SUI.</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use a pad test with a standardised duration and activity protocol. Use a standardised pad test when quantification of SUI is required, especially to assess response to treatment. POP = pelvic organ prolapse; SUI = stress urinary incontinence.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 – SUCRA curve values for the number of women cured as outcome, adapted from the ESTER meta-analysis [74].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Number of women cured (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traditional sling operations</td>
<td>89.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retropubic MUS operations</td>
<td>89.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open colposuspension</td>
<td>76.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transobturator MUS operations</td>
<td>64.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laparoscopic colposuspension</td>
<td>48.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-incision sling operations</td>
<td>39.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder-neck needle suspension</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior vaginal repair</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MUS = mid-urethral sling; SUCRA = surface under the cumulative ranking.
a numerical representation of the overall ranking as a single number associated with each intervention. We report this figure for each intervention for comparative purposes (Table 5) while acknowledging that the ESTER review is limited by only reporting follow-up of 1 yr.

3.3.3.1. Other considerations. A Cochrane SR [75] on open colposuspension revealed a subjective cure rate of 70% at 5 yr. A subanalysis from this review revealed better effectiveness for autologous fascial slings compared to open colposuspension at 1–5 yr.

Bulking agents were not included as a comparator in ESTER. An SR of 23 studies using Macroplaque showed that 75% of patients experienced improvement and 43% were dry at <6 mo, and 64% experienced improvement and 36% were cured at >18 mo [76]. In a more recent RCT comparing TVT to Bulkamid, the objective cure rate was 95% versus 64% [77].

A long-term cohort study of retropubic TVT showed an objective cure rate of 89.9% and a subjective cure rate of 76.1% at 10 yr. Overall, 82.6% of patients reported high satisfaction with their surgery [78]. However, another 10-yr follow-up study from an RCT reported a dry rate of 31.7% following TVT, and 50.8% following autologous fascial sling [79]. A long-term prospective study on transobturator slings showed that the objective and subjective cure rates were 78.9% and 62.6%, respectively, at 145 mo, with no significant deterioration in SUI cure rates over time [80].

The retropubic approach for mid-urethral sling (MUS) was associated with a significantly higher rate of bladder perforation than transobturator MUS (5% vs 0.2%), but groin pain was more frequent after transobturator MUS than retropubic MUS (6.3% vs 1.3%). The rate of tape/mesh exposure or extrusion was similar between retropubic and transobturator MUS (2.1% vs 2.4%; odds ratio 1.10) [73].

Adjustable compression devices and artificial urinary sphincters are used in select patients in some countries but lack high-quality studies to support their use. Other surgical modalities, such as the Vesair intravesical pressure-attenuating balloon, should only be offered as part of a well-regulated research trial.

3.3.3.2. Shared decision-making. The panel recognises that a shared decision-making approach is paramount when any treatments are proposed, but feels that there should be a particular emphasis on the topic area of surgical treatment for SUI. A number of different options are available for patients that vary in both efficacy and safety profile. Consequently, the amount of information given to patients considering surgery for SUI is substantial. The panel unequivocally advises adherence to the fundamental principles of the shared decision-making process, which include:

Table 6 – Recommendations for the management of SUI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Strength rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Encourage overweight and obese women with LUTS/SUI to lose weight and maintain weight loss.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer incontinence pads and/or containment devices for management of SUI, either for temporary symptom control or when other treatments are not feasible.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer supervised intensive PFMT, lasting at least 3 mo, as first-line therapy to all women with SUI or MUI (including elderly women and prenatal and postnatal women).</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that PFMT programmes are as intensive as possible.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance the efficacy and lack of adverse events from PFMT against the expected effect and complications from invasive surgery for SUI.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not offer electrical stimulation with surface electrodes (skin, vaginal, anal) alone for treatment of SUI.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer vaginal oestrogen therapy to postmenopausal women with SUI and symptoms of vulvovaginal atrophy.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer duloxetine (where licensed) to select patients with SUI who are unresponsive to other conservative treatments and who want to avoid invasive treatment, counselling carefully about the risk of adverse events.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duloxetine should be initiated and withdrawn using dose titration because of the high risk of adverse events.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer patients who have explored/failed conservative treatment options a choice of different surgical procedures, where appropriate, and discuss the advantages and disadvantages of each approach.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use new devices for the treatment of SUI only as part of a structured research programme. The outcomes must be monitored in a registry or as part of a well-regulated research trial.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer colposuspension (open or laparoscopic) to women seeking surgical treatment for SUI following a thorough discussion of the risks and benefits relative to other surgical modalities.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer autologous sling placement to women seeking surgical treatment for SUI following a thorough discussion of the risks and benefits relative to other surgical modalities.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer urethral bulking agents to women seeking surgical treatment for SUI following a thorough discussion of the risks and benefits relative to other surgical modalities.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer urethral bulking agents to women with SUI who request a low-risk procedure with the understanding that efficacy is lower than with other surgical procedures, repeat injections are likely, and the long-term durability and safety are not established.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not offer autologous fat and hyaluronic acid as urethral bulking agents owing to the higher risk of adverse events.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer an MUS to women seeking surgical treatment for SUI following a thorough discussion of the risks and benefits relative to other surgical modalities.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inform women that long-term outcomes for MUS inserted via the retropubic route are superior to those inserted via the transobturator route.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inform women of the complications associated with MUS procedures and discuss all alternative treatments in the light of recent publicity surrounding surgical mesh.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inform women who are being offered a single-incision sling that long-term efficacy remains uncertain.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inform obese women with SUI about the higher risks associated with surgery, together with the likelihood of a lower probability of benefit.</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inform women with SUI about the higher risks associated with surgery, together with the likelihood of a lower probability of benefit.</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inform women receiving an artificial urinary sphincter or adjustable compression device that although cure is possible, even in expert centres there is a high risk of complications, mechanical failure, or a need for explantation.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LUTS = lower urinary tract symptoms; MUS = mid-urethral sling; MUI = mixed urinary incontinence; PFMT = pelvic floor muscle training; SUI = stress urinary incontinence.
Full participation from the patient;
Delivery of factual information regarding benefits and risks of any particular treatment, adapted to the specific situation of the patient if possible;
Delivery of information about the experience and expertise of the health care provider/institution carrying out the treatment, especially for highly specialised procedures such as complex SUI and mesh removal surgery;
Confirmation that the patient understands the information given;
Clinician understanding and documentation of individual patient preferences;
Patient opportunity to consider and confirm any decisions made; and
Clinician assistance with implementation of the final decision.

Recommendations for the management of SUI are provided in Table 6.

3.4. Mixed urinary incontinence

The term MUI may refer to equal stress and urgency symptoms, stress-predominant symptoms, urgency-predominant symptoms, urodynamic SUI (USUI or USI) with DO, or USUI with clinical urgency symptoms but no DO [81]. The challenge with this broad definition is that it leads to inconsistencies when evaluating treatment options and outcomes.

The role of urodynamics in MUI is unclear, but establishing objective degrees of SUI and DO incontinence may help in counselling patients about the most appropriate initial treatment option.

3.4.1. Conservative management

PFMT appears to be less effective for MUI than for SUI alone, and the addition of bladder training may provide additional benefit [82].

Table 7 – Recommendations for the diagnosis and management of MUI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Strength rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characterise MUI as either stress-predominant or urgency-predominant where possible.</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use urodynamics as part of the multimodal assessment of MUI to help inform the most appropriate management strategy.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treat the most bothersome symptom first in patients with MUI.</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer bladder training as a first-line therapy to adults with MUI.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer supervised intensive PFMT, lasting at least 3 mo, as a first-line therapy to all women with MUI (including elderly and postnatal women).</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer anticholinergic drugs or J3 agonists to patients with urgency-predominant MUI.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer duloxetine (where licensed) to select patients with stress-predominant MUI unresponsive to other conservative treatments and who want to avoid invasive treatment, counselling carefully about the risk of adverse events.</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warn women that surgery for MUI is less likely to be successful than surgery for SUI alone.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inform women with MUI that one single treatment may not cure UI; it may be necessary to treat other components of the incontinence problem as well as the most bothersome symptom.</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PFMT = pelvic floor muscle training; MUI = mixed urinary incontinence; SUI = stress urinary incontinence; UI = urinary incontinence.

3.4.2. Pharmacological management

Tolterodine and solifenacin have been assessed in RCTs in MUI patients, with results showing improvement of the UUI component [83–85]. Duloxetine has also shown efficacy in improving incontinence and QoL in all MUI subgroups in an RCT versus placebo [86]. However, adverse event rates were high at 61.3% and the discontinuation rate was 15.7%.

3.4.3. Surgical management

Few RCTs on surgical management of SUI report separate outcomes for MUI subgroups. Post hoc analyses show poorer results for participants with preoperative urgency or DO, but these results are conflicting [87,88]. In a study of 1113 women treated with transobturator TVT, SUI was cured equally in stress-predominant and urgency-predominant MUI. However, women with stress-predominant MUI had significantly better overall outcomes than women with urgency-predominant MUI [89].

In contrast to studies examining older surgical methods, more recent studies (generally small case series) have reported that UUI symptoms improve in 30–85% of women with MUI after MUS surgery [90].

Recommendations for MUI diagnosis and management are provided in Table 7.

4. Conclusions

Non-neurogenic FLUTS comprise a broad subject area, much of which has not previously been covered in the EAU guidelines compendium. This article provides an overview of the management pathway from general diagnostics in FLUTS through to treatment of OAB, SUI, and MUI. A related article will similarly outline the management of underactive bladder, female BOO, nocturia, POP related to LUTS, urinary fistula, and urethral diverticulum.
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