

Criteria for the Jury of the EAUN Nursing Research Competition

	Questions				
1.	Abstract	Yes (2 point)	Partially (1 point)	No (0 point)	Comments
	In the abstract of the Research project plan, has the submitting author addressed the following matters:				
1.1	A clearly stated and delineated title				
1.2	Introduction with background information (with references to present knowledge)				
1.3	Rationale of the problem and relevance to urological nurses				
2.	Objectives	Yes (2 point)	Partially (1 point)	No (0 point)	Comments
	Regarding the objectives, has the author provided:				
2.1	Overall goal				
2.2	Specific objective				
2.3	Realistic objectives				
2.4	Objectives consistent with the stated research approach				
3.	Methodology	Yes (2 point)	Partially (1 point)	No (0 point)	Comments
3.1	Is the methodology well described, including why choose this design and the limitations of the design.				
3.2	Is the methodology consistent with the research question				
3.3	Are the inclusion and exclusion criteria formulated				
3.4	Is the recruitment method appropriately described, and is the study population realistic? (sample size)				
3.5	Is the intended outcome of this study described				
3.6	Are the statistical methods or analysis method appropriate for the study design				
3.7	Has the author identified the ethical considerations that relate to this proposal and outlined ways to address them?				
4.	Feasibility	Yes (2 point)	Partially (1 point)	No (0 point)	Comments
4.1	Is the timetable realistic				
4.2	Is the budget realistic				
4.3	Has the author persuasively argued why the expected outcome is relevant for the future of urologic nursing				

Criteria for the Jury of the EAUN Nursing Research Competition

4.4	Is the reference list complete?				
4.5	Has the author clearly identified the ethical issues and the actions to control them (e.g., data collected anonymously)?				
4.6	Has the author stated any conflict of interest				
4.7	Has the panel identified any conflict of interest				
5.	Presentation	Yes (2 point)	Partially (1 point)	No (0 point)	Comments
5.1	Is the presentation congruent with the project plan				
5.2	Could the jury's questions be answered adequately				
5.3	The presentation was clearly delivered and respected the allotted time				
5.4	The slides have a good quality (legibility, layout, visual coherence) and supported the presentation effectively.				